08-089-2010-26-0001 Dakota CUSD 201 Page 1 of 41

Dakota CUSD 201 Dakota, ILLINOIS 61018 Jason Grey Email - jgrey@dakota201.com (844) 632-5682 http://www.dakota201.com



2020

EBF District Funding Tier - 2
Financial capacity to meet expectations - 77.0 %
State Senate District - 45
State House District - 089

State and federal laws require public school districts to release report cards to the public each year.

The Illinois Report Card is an annual report released by the Illinois State Board of Education that shows how the state, and each school and district, are progressing on a wide range of educational goals. The Report Card offers a complete picture of student and school performance in order to inform and empower families and communities as they support their local schools. To view an interactive display of Report Card data, visit <a href="https://www.lllinoisReportCard.com">www.lllinoisReportCard.com</a>.

The federal Every Student Succeeds Act requires states to provide every school a summative designation. All states were given a waiver of this requirement for school year 2019-2020 due to the impact of COVID-19. The waiver requires that states reissue schools their designations from the previous year. Illinois has four summative designations: Exemplary, Commendable, Targeted Support, and Comprehensive Support. Schools that receive a designation of Targeted Support for particular student groups or Comprehensive Support for the school receive additional funding and resources to build local capacity and improve student outcomes. Multiple measures of school performance and environment determine a school's designation. For more information about Illinois' accountability system please visit www.isbe.net/summative.

For additional information, refer to the 2020 Glossary of Terms.

08-089-2010-26-0001 Dakota CUSD 201 Page 2 of 41

#### **STUDENTS**

STUDENT	ENROLLMEN	T										
	A.II	VA/I-14 -	Disale	III a a a a da	<b>A</b> !		American	Two or More	Children with	English	Low	Ususalasa
	All	White	Black	<u>Hispanic</u>	Asian	<u>Islander</u>	Indian	Races	Disabilities	Learners	Income	Homeless
District	821	766	4	26	5	*	1	19	93	*	241	1
		93.3%	0.5%	3.2%	0.6%	*	0.1%	2.3%	11.3%	*	29.4%	0.1%
State	1,957,018	929,443	324,212	519,982	102,732	2,035	4,936	73,678	348,751	245,502	949,618	38,890
		47.5%	16.6%	26.6%	5.2%	0.1%	0.3%	3.8%	17.8%	12.5%	48.5%	2.0%

Student Enrollment is based on Serving School.

**Children with Disabilities** are those students eligible to receive special education services through an IEP or support through a 504 plan. **English Learners** are students eligible for transitional bilingual programs.

**Low Income** are students who receive or live in households that receive SNAP or TANF; are classified as homeless, migrant, runaway, Head Start, or foster children; or live in a household where the household income meets the USDA income guidelines to receive free or reduced-price meals.

Homeless students are those who do not have permanent and adequate homes.

CHRONIC	ABSENTEEISI	M RATE										
						Native						
						Hawaiian		Two or	Children	Students		_
							American	More	with	with	English	Low
	All	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Islander	Indian	Races	Disabilities	IEPs	Learners	Income
District	5.0%	4.7%	*	4.0%	*	*	*	11.8%	8.4%	9.1%	*	9.0%
			1			I	ı	13.0%	16.3%	16.9%		16.4%

Users of this report should note that this data may have possibly been affected by the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2019-20 school year. While it was collected in accordance with ISBE data policy and validated through normal procedures, the state environment and policy changes resulting from the suspension of in-person instruction may have possibly affected the results. Thus, please use caution when interpreting results and trends.

STUDENT	MOBILITY RA	TE												
								Native						
								Hawaiian		Two or	Children	Students		
									American	More	with	with	English	Low
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Islander	Indian	Races	Disabilities	IEPs	Learners	Income
District	3.9%	3.7%	4.1%	3.9%	*	0.0%	*	*	*	5.9%	3.4%	4.1%	*	4.8%
State	6.2%	6.5%	5.8%	4.1%	11.8%	5.9%	6.1%	8.2%	8.6%	7.6%	6.2%	6.8%	7.4%	9.0%

Students with IEPs are those eligible to receive special education services.

08-089-2010-26-0001 Dakota CUSD 201 Page 3 of 41

#### **EARLY LEARNING**

KINDERG	ARTEN INDIVIDUAL	DEVELOPMENTA	L SURVEY (KIDS	) RESULTS								
	% of Entering		% of Entering Kindergartners Demonstrating Readiness by Developmental Area									
	Kindergartners	In 0	In 1	In 2	In All 3	Social and	Language and					
	Rated on Required 14 Measures	Developmental Areas	Developmental Area	Developmental Areas	Developmental Areas	Emotional Development	Literacy Development	Math				
District	96.5%	12.7%	34.5%	9.1%	43.6%	87.3%	45.5%	50.9%				
State	88.7%	36.9%	17.0%	17.5%	28.6%	56.0%	47.1%	34.6%				

The Kindergarten Individual Development Survey (KIDS) is an observational tool designed to help teachers, administrators, families and policymakers better understand the developmental readiness of children entering kindergarten.

KINDERG	ARTEN INI	DIVIDUAL						<del>0 1 /</del>	3 Developn	antal Araa			
			% OI EIILE	ilig Killue	Native	Demonsua	ung Keaui	iless III ali	3 Developii	ientai Area	<b>5</b>	Free/	Non Free/
					Hawaiian /Pacific	American	Two or More	English	Non English	Students with	Students with	Reduced Price	Reduced Price
	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Islander	Indian	Races	English Learners	. •	IEPs	Non-IEPs	Lunch	Lunch
District	42.6%	*	*	*	*	*	100.0%	*	43.6%	0.0%	45.3%	31.3%	48.7%
State	34.9%	23.4%	17.3%	35.3%	36.6%	14.5%	30.9%	13.7%	31.6%	14.3%	30.2%	19.7%	36.3%

The Kindergarten Individual Development Survey (KIDS) is an observational tool designed to help teachers, administrators, families and policymakers better understand the developmental readiness of children entering kindergarten.

08-089-2010-26-0001 Dakota CUSD 201 Page 4 of 41

#### **GIFTED STUDENTS**

"Gifted Education" is defined as educational services, including differentiated curricula and instructional methods, designed to meet the needs of gifted children as defined in Article 14A of this code.

"Advanced Academic Program" is defined as a course of study to which students are assigned based on advanced cognitive ability or advanced academic achievement compared to local age peers and in which the curriculum is substantially differentiated from the general curriculum to provide appropriate challenge and pace. Note that gifted students are a subset of accelerated placement /advanced academic program students and are included in these metrics also.

STUDENT GIFTEDNI	S ASSESSED FO	)R					
	# Students	% Students					
District	*	*					
State	165,182 7.6%						

STUDENT	S ASSESSED I	FOR GIFTE	EDNESS (E	Demograph	nics)								
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian /Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students With IEPs	English Learners	Low Income
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	165,182	7.5%	7.7%	8.5%	4.7%	5.5%	18.4%	8.1%	7.4%	9.1%	5.9%	6.3%	4.7%

	STUDENTS ASSESSED FOR GIFTEDNESS TAUGHT BY GIFTED-ENDORSED TEACHERS								
	# Students % Students								
District	*	*							
State	19,414 0.9%								

STUDENT	S ASSESSED	FOR GIFTE	EDNESS T	AUGHT BY	GIFTED-E	NDORSED	TEACHE	RS (Demo	graphics)				
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian /Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students With IEPs	English Learners	Low Income
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	19,414	0.9%	0.9%	1.1%	0.3%	0.6%	2.7%	0.3%	0.7%	0.9%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%

08-089-2010-26-0001 Dakota CUSD 201 Page 5 of 41

STUDENT	S IDENTIFIED AS GIFTED	
	# Students	% Students
District	*	*
State	50,813	2.3%

STUDENT	S IDENTIFIED	AS GIFTEI	) (Demogr	aphics)									
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian /Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students With IEPs	English Learners	Low Income
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	50,813	2.3%	2.4%	2.5%	1.1%	1.4%	9.9%	3.4%	1.3%	2.7%	0.6%	0.5%	1.0%

	STUDENTS IDENTIFIED AS GIFTED TAUGHT BY GIFTED-ENDORSED TEACHERS								
	# Students % Students								
District	*	*							
State	9,454	0.4%							

STUDENTS IDENTIFIED AS GIFTED TAUGHT BY GIFTED-ENDORSED TEACHERS (Demographics)  Native  Hawaiian Two or Students  /Pacific American More With English Low													
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Islander	Indian	Races	IEPs	Learners	Income
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	9,454	0.4%	0.4%	0.5%	0.1%	0.2%	1.9%	0.1%	0.3%	0.5%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%

08-089-2010-26-0001 Dakota CUSD 201 Page 6 of 41

#### **INSTRUCTIONAL SETTING**

TOTAL SCHOOL DAYS				
Number of Days				
District	182			
State	175			

Users of this report should note that this data may have possibly been affected by the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2019-20 school year. While it was collected in accordance with ISBE data policy and validated through normal procedures, the state environment and policy changes resulting from the suspension of in-person instruction may have possibly affected the results. Thus, please use caution when interpreting results and trends.

% of 8TH GRADERS PASSING ALGEBRA I				
District	28.3%			
State	30.8%			

Users of this report should note that this data may have possibly been affected by the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2019-20 school year. HOWEVER, based on the data collected and comparisons to historical data, the data collected for this collection cycle does not, in aggregate, exhibit greater variation than is normally observed from year to year.

STUDENT-TO-STAFF RATIOS							
	Pupil- Teacher Elementary	Pupil- Teacher Secondary	Pupil- Certified Staff	Pupil- Administrator			
District	20.2	11.5	11.0	205.3			
State	18.1	18.6	10.1	171.1			

HEALTH AND WELLNESS (days per week)				
District		5.0		
State		3.6		

Users of this report should note that this data may have possibly been affected by the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2019-20 school year. HOWEVER, based on the data collected and comparisons to historical data, the data collected for this collection cycle does not, in aggregate, exhibit greater variation than is normally observed from year to year.

AVERAGE	AVERAGE CLASS SIZE (as of the first school day in May)										
Grades	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9 - 12	Overall
District	19.7	17.0	20.4	22.0	20.0	17.9	25.7	19.7	19.4	16.1	17.8
State	19.1	20.8	21.2	21.9	22.0	22.6	23.1	23.0	22.5	21.6	21.7

TEACHER	EACHER INFORMATION (Full-Time Equivalents)										
	Total							Native Hawaiian /Pacific	American	Two or More	
	Number	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Islander	Indian	Races	Unknown
District	55	28.6%	71.4%	98.2%	*	*	*	*	*	*	1.8%
State	131,230	23.2%	76.8%	82.3%	5.9%	7.0%	1.7%	0.1%	0.2%	0.8%	2.0%

TEACHER INFORMATION (Experience)					
	Average Teaching Experience (Years)	% of Teachers with Bachelor's Degrees	% of Teachers with Masters's & Above		
District State	16.2 13.4	39.2% 39.6%	60.8% 59.8%		

08-089-2010-26-0001 Dakota CUSD 201 Page 7 of 41

TEACHER RETENTION RATE				
District	89.8%			
State	85.9%			

PRINCIPAL TURNOVER (Count)				
District	3.0			
State	2.0			


TEACHER ATTENDANCE RATE			
District	94.7%		
State	86.6%		


Users of this report should note that this data may have possibly been affected by the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2019-20 school year. While it was collected in accordance with ISBE data policy and validated through normal procedures, the state environment and policy changes resulting from the suspension of in-person instruction may have possibly affected the results. Thus, please use caution when interpreting results and trends.

TEACHER EVALUATION RATE			
District	100.0%		
State	98.7%		

08-089-2010-26-0001 Dakota CUSD 201 Page 8 of 41

#### **SCHOOL DISTRICT FINANCES**





REVENUE BY SOURCE 2018-19			
	District	District %	State %
Local Property Taxes	\$6,210,634	60.0%	60.3%
Other Local Funding	\$663,897	6.4%	6.1%
Evidence-Based Funding	\$2,542,880	24.6%	21.7%
Other State Funding	\$387,304	3.7%	4.9%
Federal Funding	\$552,689	5.3%	7.0%
TOTAL	\$10,357,404		

<b>EXPENDITURE BY FUND 2018</b>	-19		
	District	District %	State %
Education	\$7,283,579	79.3%	70.4%
Operations & Maintenance	\$419,008	4.6%	7.3%
Transportation	\$547,425	6.0%	3.9%
Debt Service	\$728,264	7.9%	8.4%
Tort	\$0	0.0%	1.2%
Municipal Retirement/			
Social Security	\$202,138	2.2%	1.9%
Fire Prevention & Safety	\$0	0.0%	0.6%
Capital Projects	\$0	0.0%	6.3%
TOTAL	\$9,180,414		

OTHER FIN	ANCIAL INDICATORS			
	2017 Equalized Assessed Valuation per Pupil	2017 Total School Tax Rate per \$100	2018-19 Instructional Expenditure per Pupil	2018-19 Operating Expenditure per Pupil
District	\$137,822	5.89	\$5,871	\$11,026
State	**	**	\$8,582	\$14,492

<sup>\*\*</sup> Due to the way Illinois school districts are configured, state averages for equalized assessed valuation per pupil and total school tax rate per \$100 are not provided.

Equalized assessed valuation includes all computed property values upon which a district's local tax rate is calculated.

Total school tax rate is a district's total tax rate as it appears on local property tax bills.

Instructional expenditure per pupil includes the direct costs of teaching pupils or the interaction between teachers and pupils.

Operating expenditure per pupil includes the gross operating cost of a school district excluding summer school, adult education, bond principal retired, and capital expenditures.

08-089-2010-26-0001 Dakota CUSD 201 Page 9 of 41

#### **SCHOOL-LEVEL FINANCES**

The Every Student Succeeds Act requires LEAs to report school-level expenditure data, beginning with school year 2018-19. LEAs are required to report actual personnel and non-personnel expenditures of both site-specific and district centralized expenditures. These data are self-reported and may differ from Annual Financial Report data. For more information, visit www.isbe.net/site-based.

For Site-Based Expenditure Reporting, enrollment numbers display the average of the enrolled student count on October 1 and March 1 of the reporting year, including all children aged 3 through 21 in grades prekindergarten through 12 served by the LEA or placed in another educational institution by the LEA. Note that students attending only part of each day are counted as proportional students in the count, which may lead to decimal places in the enrollment number.

			-Level Per-l Expenditure	•		Centralized Expenditure		Total Pe	r-Pupil Expe	enditures		
District Sites	Enrollment	Federal	State/Local	Subtotal	Federal	State/Local	Subtotal	Federal	State/Local	Subtotal	Exclusions	Total Expenditures
Dakota Elementary School	428.50	\$387	\$7,040	\$7,428	\$0	\$4,647	\$4,647	\$387	\$11,687	\$12,075		
Dakota Jr Sr High School	396.13	\$257	\$7,427	\$7,684	\$0	\$4,479	\$4,479	\$257	\$11,905	\$12,162		
District	824.63	\$325	\$7,226	\$7,551	\$0	\$4,566	\$4,566	\$325	\$11,792	\$12,117	\$1,482,858	\$11,474,651

#### **SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT FUNDS**

Title I School Improvement - 1003(a) Grant funds are federal Title I funds allocated to districts to support school improvement efforts in schools designated as targeted or comprehensive. Funds must be spent on activities that supplement core programs. Comprehensive schools receive comprehensive support and are required to utilize an approved Learning Partner. Targeted schools receive targeted support and may utilize an approved Learning Partner. Any school utilizing Title I School Improvement - 1003(a) funds must complete an application and School Improvement Report based on a local Board of Education approved School Improvement Plan and submit the Illinois Quality Framework Supporting Rubric. Additional information on the requirements of this grant can be found here: <a href="https://www.isbe.net/Pages/IL-EMPOWER.aspx">https://www.isbe.net/Pages/IL-EMPOWER.aspx</a>

SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT FUNDS				
Schools Who Receive Title I School Improvement - 1003(a) Funds	School Year First Identified As Needing Support	Level of Support	Title I School Improvement - 1003(a) Funds Received for Previous School Year	Reason for Receiving Title I School Improvement - 1003(a) Funds

08-089-2010-26-0001 Dakota CUSD 201 Page 11 of 41

#### **ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE - ASSESSMENTS**

The federal Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) requires states to assess their learning standards for English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science. Each state may also have a general assessment for the majority of its students, and an alternate assessment for the one percent of students with the most significant cognitive disabilities. ESSA also requires that English Learners be assessed in four domains (reading, writing, speaking and listening) each year until they reach proficiency. Results are presented both by subject (all tests combined) and then by individual test.

ELA PAR	TICIPATION - A	LL TESTS	(Demogra	phics)										
								Native						
								Hawaiian		Two or	Children	Students		
								/Pacific	American	More	with	with	English	Low
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Islander	Indian	Races	Disabilities	IEPs	Learners	Income
District														
State														

Due to the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2019-20 school year, the data for this metric is unavailable for use in the School Year 2020 Report Card.

MATH PA	RTICIPATION -	ALL TES	ΓS (Demog	raphics)										
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian /Pacific Islander	American	Two or More Races	Children with Disabilities	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income
District State														

Due to the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2019-20 school year, the data for this metric is unavailable for use in the School Year 2020 Report Card.

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian /Pacific Islander	American	Two or More Races	Children with Disabilities	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income
District														
State														

Due to the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2019-20 school year, the data for this metric is unavailable for use in the School Year 2020 Report Card.

#### NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF ENGLISH LEARNERS EXEMPTED FROM ASSESSMENT

No English Learners are exempted from assessment, including those who are newly arrived in the U.S. Previously, states could exempt newly arrived English Learners from ELA testing only. Under ESSA, states have flexibility in how they test and use scores from newly arrived English Learners. Per the approved Illinois ESSA State Plan, "Illinois will assess newly arrived ELs, enrolled in their first year in U.S. schools, in grades 3-12 in academic content areas: English language arts, mathematics, and science. Data from the first-year assessments will not be included in accountability determinations, but serve solely for baseline purposes."

08-089-	2010-26-00	01									Dakota (	CUSD 20	)1 Page	e 12 of 4
IAR ELA I	PARTICIPATIO	N (Demogr	raphics)											
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian /Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Children with Disabilities	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income
District														
State														
	suspension of in-			ing the 2019	-20 school	year, the dat	a for this m	netric is una	vailable for (	use in the S	chool Year 202	0 Report Ca	rd.	
IAR MATH	I PARTICIPATI	ON (Demo	graphics)											
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian /Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Children with Disabilities	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income
District						•								
State														

	ARTICIPATIO	<u> </u>						Native						
								Hawaiian		Two or	Children	Students		_
									American	More	with	with	English	Low
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Islander	Indian	Races	Disabilities	IEPs	Learners	Income
District														
State														

Due to the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2019-20 school year, the data for this metric is unavailable for use in the School Year 2020 Report Card.

ARTICIPATI	ON (Demo	graphics)											
All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	/Pacific	American	Two or More Races	Children with Disabilities	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income
-		·	ARTICIPATION (Demographics)  All Male Female					Native Hawaiian /Pacific	Native Hawaiian /Pacific American	Native Hawaiian Two or /Pacific American More	Native Hawaiian Two or Children /Pacific American More with	Native Hawaiian Two or Children Students /Pacific American More with with	Native Hawaiian Two or Children Students /Pacific American More with with English

Due to the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2019-20 school year, the data for this metric is unavailable for use in the School Year 2020 Report Card.

									American	Two or More	Children with	Students with	English	Low
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Islander	Indian	Races	Disabilities	IEPs	Learners	Income
District State														

Due to the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2019-20 school year, the data for this metric is unavailable for use in the School Year 2020 Report Card.

DLM-AA I	MATH PARTICI	PATION (D	emograph	nics)										
		•	<u> </u>	•				Native						
								Hawaiian		Two or	Children	Students		
								/Pacific	American	More	with	with	English	Low
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Islander	Indian	Races	Disabilities	IEPs	Learners	Income
District														
State														
		L			<u> </u>			<u> </u>	<u> </u>					
Due to the s	suspension of in-	-person insi	truction dur	ina the 2019	1-20 school	vear, the dat	ta tor this n	netric is una	available for i	ise in the S	chool Year 202	u Report Ca	rd.	

DLM-AA S	SCIENCE PART	ICIPATION	N (Demogr	aphics)										
								Native Hawaiian		Two or	Children	Students	Castial	
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Islander	American Indian	More Races	with Disabilities	with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income
District														- 3.000
State														
								<u> </u>						

								Native Hawaiian /Pacific	American	Two or More	Children with	Students with	English	Low
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Islander		Races	Disabilities		Learners	Income
District														
State														

Due to the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2019-20 school year, the data for this metric is unavailable for use in the School Year 2020 Report Card.

ELA PROF	FICIENCY - AL	L TESTS	(Demogra	phics)				Native		
	All							Hawaiian	American	Two or More
	Students	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian		Indian	Races
District										
State										

Due to the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2019-20 school year, the data for this metric is unavailable for use in the School Year 2020 Report Card.

ELA PRO	FICIENCY - AL	L TESTS (Der	nographics	Continue	d)			
	Children with Disabilities	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Migrant	Youth In Care	Military
District								
State								

Due to the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2019-20 school year, the data for this metric is unavailable for use in the School Year 2020 Report Card.

MATH PR	OFICIENCY - A	ALL TEST	S (Demog	raphics)					
	All Students	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic		American Indian	Two or More Races
District		Ι					<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
State									

MATH PR	OFICIENCY - A	LL TESTS (D	emographic	s Continu	ed)			
	Children with Disabilities	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Migrant	Youth In Care	Military
District								
State								

Due to the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2019-20 school year, the data for this metric is unavailable for use in the School Year 2020 Report Card.

								Native Hawaiian		Two or
	All Students	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian		American Indian	More Races
District										
State										

Due to the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2019-20 school year, the data for this metric is unavailable for use in the School Year 2020 Report Card.

SCIENCE	PROFICIENCY	' - ALL TESTS	(Demograp	hics Cont	inued)			
	Children with Disabilities	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Migrant	Youth In Care	Military
District								
State								

Due to the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2019-20 school year, the data for this metric is unavailable for use in the School Year 2020 Report Card.

EL PROFI	CIENCY ON AC	CESS					
	# ELS	# Tested	% Participation	# Proficient	% Proficient	# Long Term EL	% Long Term EL
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	223,399	220,920	98.9%	17,503	7.9%	20,127	9.0%

	All Students	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian /Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races
District										
State										

	Children with	Students with	English	Low			Youth In	
	Disabilities	IEPs	Learners	Income	Homeless	Migrant	Care	Military
District								
State								

Due to the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2019-20 school year, the data for this metric is unavailable for use in the School Year 2020 Report Card.

	All Students	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian /Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races
District										
State										

Due to the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2019-20 school year, the data for this metric is unavailable for use in the School Year 2020 Report Card.

	Children with	Students with	English	Low			Youth In	
	Disabilities	IEPs	Learners	Income	Homeless	Migrant	Care	Military
District								
State								

Due to the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2019-20 school year, the data for this metric is unavailable for use in the School Year 2020 Report Card.

9th Grade	On Track											
						Native Hawaiian	A	Two or	Children	Students	Cauliah	1
	All	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	/Pacific Islander	American Indian	More Races	with Disabilities	with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income
District	57	90.0%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	88.2%
State	130,164	92.8%	78.5%	85.9%	96.9%	91.0%	86.3%	87.8%	82.4%	80.6%	80.5%	81.7%

CAREER AND TECHNICAL EDUCATION ENROLLMENT							
District	179						
State	286,237						

Users of this report should note that this data may have been affected by the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2019-20 school year. HOWEVER, based on the data collected and comparisons to historical data, the data collected for this collection cycle does not, in aggregate, exhibit any more variation than is normally observed from year to year.

ADVANCED C	OURSE WORK (AP	/IB/DUAL CREDIT)										
	GRADE 9 GRADE 10 GRADE 11 GRADE 12											
District	ict * * 35 25											
State												

715 171110	ED PLACE		RSE WORK										
			COURS	ACEMENT ( E WORK	,		COURS	ACCALAURE E WORK	. ,	_	AL CREDIT (		_
		Grade9	Grade10	Grade11	Grade12	Grade9	Grade10	Grade11	Grade12	Grade9	Grade10	Grade11	Grade12
All	District State	* 14,877	* 27,199	* 46,708	* 53,642	263	* 314	* 2,827	2,608	5,392	6,740	35 19.846	24 38,017
White	District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	33	22
Wille	State	6,680	13,468	24,167	28,113	29	36	357	356	2,857	3,776	12,960	23,702
Black	District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	State	1,556	2,162	4,037	4,833	58	93	852	789	917	844	1,574	3,438
Hispanic	District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	State	3,906	6,689	11,696	13,503	154	167	1,378	1,230	1,153	1,478	3,504	7,448
Asian	District	* 0.457	2 020	*	*	*	* 12	*	* 179	*	* 440	4 407	*
	State	2,157	3,836	5,093	5,325	17	12	190	179	279	416	1,127	2,114
Native Ha Pacific Is													
	District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	State	37	36	66	61	1	1	2	3	3	7	15	42
American	n Indian District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	State	20	64	105	104	0	1	8	12	10	16	34	77
Two or M	ore Races												
	District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	State	521	944	1,544	1,703	4	4	40	39	173	203	632	1,196
Children Disabilitie	-												
	District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	State	805	1,511	2,887	3,710	18	14	228	223	668	858	2,094	3,838
IEP	District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	State	152	306	648	1,124	3	3	85 *	88	485	571	1,323	2,230
Non IEP	District State	14,725	26,893	46,060	52,518	260	311	2,742	2,520	4,907	6,169	34 18,523	24 35,787
EL	District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	State	174	405	695	1,046	8	12	88	76	276	266	489	850
Non EL	District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	35	24
	State	14,703	26,794	46,013	52,596	255	302	2,739	2,532	5,116	6,474	19,357	37,167
Low Inco		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	District State	4,122	6,746	11,929	16,202	150	200	1,546	1,856	1,874	2,259	5,742	11,597
Non Low	Income	,	*		,	*		*		*			
	District State	* 10,755	20,453	* 34,779	* 37,440	113	* 114	* 1,281	* 752	3,518	* 4,481	27 14,104	21 26,420

POSTSECONDARY ENRO	POSTSECONDARY ENROLLMENT 12 MONTH											
		Public	Private									
	All	Institution	Institution	4 Yr	2 Yr	< 2 Yr						
District	72.9%	59.3%	13.6%	25.4%	47.5%	0.0%						
State	70.3%	53.5%	16.8%	40.6%	29.8%	0.0%						

POSTSECONDARY ENROLLMENT 16 MONTH											
	All	Public Institution	Private Institution	4 Yr	2 Yr	< 2 Yr					
District	74.6%	61.0%	13.6%	25.4%	49.2%	0.0%					
State	71.0%	54.2%	16.9%	40.7%	30.3%	0.0%					

HIGH SCHO	OL 4-YE	AR GRAD	UATION F	RATE (Den	nographic	es)				
		Gei	nder			ı	Race / Ethr	nicity		
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian /Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races
District State	88.9% 88.0%	84.2% 85.6%	94.1% 90.5%	89.4% 91.5%	* 79.9%	* 85.5%	94.5%	* 89.4%	* 80.0%	* 85.7%

Users of this report should note that this data may have possibly been affected by the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2019-20 school year. While it was collected in accordance with ISBE data policy and validated through normal procedures, the state environment and policy changes resulting from the suspension of in-person instruction may have possibly affected the results. Thus, please use caution when interpreting results and trends.

HIGH SCHO	OL 4-YEAR	GRADUATIO	N RATE (I	Demograph	ics Continue	ed)		
	Children with Disabilities	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Migrant	Youth In Care	Military
District	*	*	*	62.5%	*	*	*	*
State	81.3%	74.0%	76.5%	82.0%	74.0%	67.9%	52.4%	52.4%

Users of this report should note that this data may have possibly been affected by the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2019-20 school year. While it was collected in accordance with ISBE data policy and validated through normal procedures, the state environment and policy changes resulting from the suspension of in-person instruction may have possibly affected the results. Thus, please use caution when interpreting results and trends.

HIGH SCHOO	OL 5-YE	AR GRAD	UATION R	ATE (Dem	ographic	s)				
		Gei	nder			ı	Race / Ethr	nicity		
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian /Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races
District State	86.0% 88.4%	85.3% 86.0%	87.0% 90.9%	88.2% 92.0%	* 80.2%	* 85.6%	* 95.3%	* 87.1%	* 78.8%	* 88.5%

Users of this report should note that this data may have possibly been affected by the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2019-20 school year. While it was collected in accordance with ISBE data policy and validated through normal procedures, the state environment and policy changes resulting from the suspension of in-person instruction may have possibly affected the results. Thus, please use caution when interpreting results and trends.

HIGH SCHO	HIGH SCHOOL 5-YEAR GRADUATION RATE (Demographics Continued)												
	Children with Disabilities	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Migrant	Youth In Care	Military					
District	*	80.0%	*	75.0%	*	*	*	*					
State													

HIGH SCHO	HIGH SCHOOL 6-YEAR GRADUATION RATE (Demographics)									
		Gei	nder		Race / Ethnicity					
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian /Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races
District State	88.1% 88.2%	86.2% 85.8%	89.5% 90.6%	88.7% 92.2%	* 79.4%	* 84.9%	* 95.4%	* 85.6%	* 84.1%	* 87.1%

Users of this report should note that this data may have possibly been affected by the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2019-20 school year. While it was collected in accordance with ISBE data policy and validated through normal procedures, the state environment and policy changes resulting from the suspension of in-person instruction may have possibly affected the results. Thus, please use caution when interpreting results and trends.

HIGH SCH	OOL 6-YEAR	GRADUATIO	ON RATE (I	Demograph	ics Continue	ed)		
	Children with Disabilities	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Migrant	Youth In Care	Military
District	*	*	*	90.9%	*	*	*	*
State	37.3%	75.2%	77.5%	81.6%	74.9%	73.7%	41.9%	41.9%

Users of this report should note that this data may have possibly been affected by the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2019-20 school year. While it was collected in accordance with ISBE data policy and validated through normal procedures, the state environment and policy changes resulting from the suspension of in-person instruction may have possibly affected the results. Thus, please use caution when interpreting results and trends.

DROPOUT R	ATE													
		Gei	nder			i	Race / Ethi	nicity						
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian /Pacific Islander	American Indian		Children with Disabilities	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income
District State	4.5% 3.5%	6.8% 3.9%	2.1% 3.0%	3.1% 2.5%	6.2%	7.7% 3.8%	* 1.4%	4.0%	5.3%	4.0%	3.4% 2.5%	5.0% 3.8%	* 1.4%	9.9% 5.5%

# 2019 Mathematics State Snapshot Report

Illinois Grade 4 Public Schools


### **Results for Student Groups in 2019**

	Percentage of	Avg.	above	age at or e NAEP	Percentage at NAEP
Reporting Groups	students	score	Basic	Proficient	Advanced
Race/Ethnicity					
White	46	246	86	51	11
Black	17	217	57	14	1
Hispanic	27	231	74	28	4
Asian	4	259	88	65	25
American Indian/Alaska Native	#	‡	‡	‡	‡
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	#	‡	‡	‡	‡
Two or more races	4	238	76	40	12
Gender					
Male	50	239	78	41	10
Female	50	236	77	36	6
National School Lunch Program					
Eligible	52	226	67	24	3
Not eligible	48	249	88	54	14

<sup>#</sup> Rounds to zero.

NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding, and because the "Information not available" category for the National School Lunch Program, which provides free/reduced-price lunches, is not displayed. Black includes African American and Hispanic includes Latino. Race categories exclude Hispanic origin.

# NAEP Achievement-Level Percentages and Average Score Results



<sup>\*</sup> Significantly different (p < .05) from state's results in 2019. Significance tests were performed using unrounded numbers.

NOTE: NAEP achievement levels are to be used on a trial basis and should be interpreted and used with caution. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.

<sup>‡</sup> Reporting standards not met.

## 2019 Mathematics State Snapshot Report

Illinois Grade 8 Public Schools


### **Results for Student Groups in 2019**

Reporting Groups	Percentage of students	Avg. score	abov	age at or e NAEP Proficient	Percentage at NAEP Advanced
Race/Ethnicity					
White	48	291	78	42	12
Black	18	262	49	14	2
Hispanic	25	273	62	24	5
Asian	6	320	92	73	36
American Indian/Alaska Native	#	‡	#	‡	‡
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	#	‡	#	‡	‡
Two or more races	3	286	71	38	17
Gender					
Male	51	283	69	35	12
Female	49	282	70	32	9
National School Lunch Program					
Eligible	48	269	56	20	4
Not eligible	52	295	82	46	15

<sup>#</sup> Rounds to zero.

NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding, and because the "Information not available" category for the National School Lunch Program, which provides free/reduced-price lunches, is not displayed. Black includes African American and Hispanic includes Latino. Race categories exclude Hispanic origin.

# NAEP Achievement-Level Percentages and Average Score Results



<sup>\*</sup> Significantly different (p < .05) from state's results in 2019. Significance tests were performed using unrounded numbers.

NOTE: NAEP achievement levels are to be used on a trial basis and should be interpreted and used with caution. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.

<sup>‡</sup> Reporting standards not met.

### **National Center for Education Statistics**

2019 Mathematics Grades 4 and 8 Assessment Report Cards: Summary Data Tables for National and State Sample Sizes, Participation Rates, Proportions of SD and ELL Students Identified, and Types of Accommodations

Table A-11. Inclusion rate and standard error (SE) in NAEP mathematics for fourth- and eighth-grade public school students with disabilities (SD) and English language learners (ELL), as a percentage of identified SD or ELL students, by state/jurisdiction: 2019

		Percentage of identific	ed SD or ELL students						
		Gr	ade 4	1			Grade 8		
	SD		ELL	SD		ELL			
State/jurisdiction	Inclusion rate	SE	Inclusion rate SE	Inclusion rate	SE	Inclusion rate	SE		
Illinois	95 <sup>1</sup>	1.2	98 <sup>1</sup> 0.7	94 1	1.1	95 <sup>1</sup>	2.0		

<sup>†</sup> Not applicable. Standard error estimate cannot be accurately determined.

NOTE: Beginning with the 2017 assessment, NAEP mathematics results are from a digitally based assessment; prior to 2017, results were from a paper-and-pencil based assessment. SD includes students identified as having an Individualized Education Program but excludes other students protected under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. In Puerto Rico, the English language learner (ELL) category is for the Spanish language learner (SLL).

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2019 Mathematics Assessment.

<sup>‡</sup> Reporting standards not met. Sample size insufficient to permit a reliable estimate.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The state/jurisdiction's inclusion rate is higher than or not significantly different from the National Assessment Governing Board goal of 85 percent.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).

# 2019 Reading State Snapshot Report Illinois Grade 4 Public Schools


### **Results for Student Groups in 2019**

Reporting Groups	Percentage of students	Avg. score	abov	age at or e NAEP Proficient	Percentage at NAEP Advanced
Race/Ethnicity					
White	46	228	75	45	12
Black	18	200	46	17	2
Hispanic	27	208	55	23	4
Asian	4	238	82	57	19
American Indian/Alaska Native	#	‡	#	‡	‡
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	#	‡	<b>‡</b>	‡	‡
Two or more races	4	229	74	43	12
Gender					
Male	50	215	61	32	8
Female	50	221	68	36	9
National School Lunch Program					
Eligible	52	205	51	21	3
Not eligible	47	233	79	50	14

<sup>#</sup> Rounds to zero.

NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding, and because the "Information not available" category for the National School Lunch Program, which provides free/reduced-price lunches, is not displayed. Black includes African American and Hispanic includes Latino. Race categories exclude Hispanic origin.

# NAEP Achievement-Level Percentages and Average Score Results



NOTE: NAEP achievement levels are to be used on a trial basis and should be interpreted and used with caution. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.

<sup>#</sup> Reporting standards not met.

# 2019 Reading State Snapshot Report Illinois Grade 8 Public Schools


### **Results for Student Groups in 2019**

Reporting Groups         students         score         Basic         Proficient         Advantage           Race/Ethnicity		Percentage of	Avg.	abo	ntage at or ve <i>NAEP</i>	Percentage at NAEP
White       48       274       82       45         Black       18       246       56       15         Hispanic       25       255       66       25         Asian       6       290       90       66         American Indian/Alaska Native       #       ‡       ‡       ‡	Groups	students	score	Basic	Proficient	Advanced
Black       18       246       56       15         Hispanic       25       255       66       25         Asian       6       290       90       66         American Indian/Alaska Native       #       ‡       ‡	icity					
Hispanic       25       255       66       25         Asian       6       290       90       66         American Indian/Alaska Native       #       ‡       ‡		48	274	82	45	6
Asian 6 290 90 66 American Indian/Alaska Native # ‡ ‡ ‡		18	246	56	15	1
American Indian/Alaska Native # ‡ ‡ ‡		25	255	66	25	2
		6	290	90	66	15
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander # ‡ ‡ ‡	Indian/Alaska Native	#	‡	‡	‡	‡
	waiian/Pacific Islander	#	‡	‡	‡	‡
Two or more races 3 263 72 32	ore races	3	263	72	32	5
Gender						
Male 51 260 69 32		51	260	69	32	4
Female 49 269 78 40		49	269	78	40	6
National School Lunch Program	chool Lunch Program					
<b>Eligible</b> 50 251 62 21	_	50	251	62	21	1
Not eligible 50 278 85 50	le	50	278	85	50	8

<sup>#</sup> Rounds to zero.

NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding, and because the "Information not available" category for the National School Lunch Program, which provides free/reduced-price lunches, is not displayed. Black includes African American and Hispanic includes Latino. Race categories exclude Hispanic origin.

## NAEP Achievement-Level Percentages and Average Score Results



<sup>\*</sup> Significantly different (p < .05) from state's results in 2019. Significance tests were performed using unrounded numbers.

NOTE: NAEP achievement levels are to be used on a trial basis and should be interpreted and used with caution. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.

<sup>‡</sup> Reporting standards not met.

### **National Center for Education Statistics**

2019 Reading Grades 4 and 8 Assessment Report Cards: Summary Data Tables for National and State Sample Sizes, Participation Rates, Proportions of SD and ELL Students Identified, and Types of Accommodations

Table A-11. Inclusion rate and standard error (SE) in NAEP reading for fourth- and eighth-grade public school students with disabilities (SD) and English language learners (ELL), as a percentage of identified SD or ELL students, by state/jurisdiction: 2019

			Percentage of identif	ed SD or EL	L students			
		Gr	ade 4	Gr			ade 8	
	SD		ELL		SD		ELL	
State/jurisdiction	Inclusion rate	SE	Inclusion rate SE	Inclusio	n rate S	E	Inclusion rate	SE
Illinois	91 <sup>1</sup>	2.1	96 <sup>1</sup> 1.0		95 <sup>1</sup> 1.	4	94 1	1.6

<sup>†</sup> Not applicable. Standard error estimate cannot be accurately determined.

NOTE: Beginning with the 2017 assessment, NAEP reading results are from a digitally based assessment; prior to 2017, results were from a paper-and-pencil based assessment. SD includes students identified as having an Individualized Education Program but excludes other students protected under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2019 Reading Assessment.


<sup>‡</sup> Reporting standards not met. Sample size insufficient to permit a reliable estimate.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The state/jurisdiction's inclusion rate is higher than or not significantly different from the National Assessment Governing Board goal of 85 percent.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).

#### 2019 IL Gr 4 Math - Students with Disabilities

Percentage below Basic, percentage at Basic, percentage at Proficient and percentage at Advanced for grade 4 mathematics, by Disability status of student, including those with 504 plan [IEP] for jurisdiction: 2019 2019, Illinois




IEP NOTE: The category "students with disabilities" includes students identified as having either an Individualized Education Program (IEP) or protection under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973.

NOTE: Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2019 Mathematics Assessment.

#### 2019 IL Gr 8 Math - Students with Disabilities

Percentage below Basic, percentage at Basic, percentage at Proficient and percentage at Advanced for grade 8 mathematics, by Disability status of student, including those with 504 plan [IEP] for jurisdiction: 2019 2019, Illinois



# Rounds to zero.


IEP NOTE: The category "students with disabilities" includes students identified as having either an Individualized Education Program (IEP) or protection under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973.

NOTE: Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2019 Mathematics Assessment.

#### 2019 IL Gr 4 Reading - Students with Disabilities

Percentage below Basic, percentage at Basic, percentage at Proficient and percentage at Advanced for grade 4 reading, by Disability status of student, including those with 504 plan [IEP] for jurisdiction: 2019 2019, Illinois



IEP NOTE: The category "students with disabilities" includes students identified as having either an Individualized Education Program (IEP) or protection under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973.

NOTE: Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2019 Reading Assessment.

#### 2019 IL Gr 8 Reading - Students with Disabilities

Percentage below Basic, percentage at Basic, percentage at Proficient and percentage at Advanced for grade 8 reading, by Disability status of student, including those with 504 plan [IEP] for jurisdiction: 2019 2019, Illinois



# Rounds to zero.


IEP NOTE: The category "students with disabilities" includes students identified as having either an Individualized Education Program (IEP) or protection under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973.

NOTE: Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2019 Reading Assessment.

#### 2019 IL Gr 4 Math - English Learners

Percentage below Basic, percentage at Basic, percentage at Proficient and percentage at Advanced for grade 4 mathematics, by Status as English Language Learner, 2 categories [LEP] for jurisdiction: 2019 2019, Illinois




NOTE: Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2019 Mathematics Assessment.

#### 2019 IL Gr 8 Math - English Learners

Percentage below Basic, percentage at Basic, percentage at Proficient and percentage at Advanced for grade 8 mathematics, by Status as English Language Learner, 2 categories [LEP] for jurisdiction: 2019 2019, Illinois




# Rounds to zero.

NOTE: Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2019 Mathematics Assessment.

#### 2019 IL Gr 4 Reading - English Learners

Percentage below Basic, percentage at Basic, percentage at Proficient and percentage at Advanced for grade 4 reading, by Status as English Language Learner, 2 categories [LEP] for jurisdiction: 2019
2019, Illinois




NOTE: Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2019 Reading Assessment.

#### 2019 IL Gr 8 Reading - English Learners

Percentage below Basic, percentage at Basic, percentage at Proficient and percentage at Advanced for grade 8 reading, by Status as English Language Learner, 2 categories [LEP] for jurisdiction: 2019 2019, Illinois



# Rounds to zero.

NOTE: Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2019 Reading Assessment.

The National Assessment of Educational Progress is a nationally representative and continuing assessment have been conducted periodically in reading, mathematics, science writing, U.S. history, civics, geography, and the arts.

NAEP does not provide scores for individual students or schools; instead, it offers results regarding subject-matter achievement, instructional experiences, and school environment for populations of students and sub-groups of those populations. NAEP results are based on a sample of student populations of interest.

Additional information regarding NAEP results can be found here:

- · Mathematics
  - o 2019 Grade 4 https://www.isbe.net/Documents/naep-2019-math-snap-4.pdf
  - o 2019 Grade 8 https://www.isbe.net/Documents/naep-2019-math-snap-8.pdf
  - o 2019 State Report Grades 4 and 8 https://www.isbe.net/Documents/naep-2019-math-state-4-8.pdf
  - o 2019 NAEP Inclusion Rates https://www.nationsreportcard.gov/mathematics/supportive\_files/2019\_technical\_appendix\_math.pdf
- Reading
  - o 2019 Grade 4 https://www.isbe.net/Documents/naep-2019-read-snap-4.pdf
  - o 2019 Grade 8 https://www.isbe.net/Documents/naep-2019-read-snap-8.pdf
  - o 2019 State Report Grades 4 and 8 https://www.isbe.net/Documents/naep-2019-read-state-4-8.pdf
  - o 2019 NAEP Inclusion Rates <a href="https://www.nationsreportcard.gov/reading/supportive-files/2019-technical-appendix-reading.pdf">https://www.nationsreportcard.gov/reading/supportive-files/2019-technical-appendix-reading.pdf</a>

#### **CIVIL RIGHTS DATA COLLECTION - 2017-18 SCHOOL YEAR**

Data from the Civil Rights Data Collection comes directly from the U.S. Department of Education (ED). ED collects the data directly from ISBE and school districts and releases the information on a two to three-year delay.

ISBE also collects some of these data elements from districts and reports the results in the year it was collected. Current year data for in-school suspensions, out-of-school suspensions, and expulsions is reported by ISBE in a separate report on <a href="https://www.isbe.net">www.isbe.net</a>. Current year data for chronic absenteeism can be found in the Students section of the Report Card. Current year data for accelerated coursework can be found in the Academic Performance section of the Report Card. Please note data may not match due to differences in data collection methods.

CRDC - IN SUSPEI	
District	1.4%
State	5.1%

CRDC - OUT-OF-SCHOOL SUSPENSIONS						
District	1.4%					
State	3.5%					

CRDC - EX	PULSIONS
District	0.0%
State	0.1%

CRDC - SCHOOL-RELATED ARRESTS				
District	6.8%			
State	0.1%			

CRDC - REFERRAL TO LAW ENFORCEMENT				
District	6.8%			
State	0.7%			

CRDC - CHRONIC ABSENTEEISM					
District 15.7%					
State	16.3%				

CRDC - IN OF VIO				
	Rate of Incidents of Violence			
District 0.0%				
<b>State</b> 2.2%				

CRDC - INCIDENTS C	CRDC - INCIDENTS OF VIOLENCE - FIREARM AND HOMICIDE							
	Firearm Homicide							
Schools in the	0	0						
District with Incidents of Violence								
Schools in the State with	153	5						
Incidents of Violence								

Please note that at the school level, these metrics are displayed as a yes or no indicator. However, at the district and state level, these metrics are displayed as a count.

CRDC - NUMBER ENROLLED IN	
District	17
	4.0%
State	78,272
	3.9%

CRDC	- ADVANCED	PLACEMENT COURSE	WORK				
		ADVANCED PL	ACEMENT (AP)	INTERNATIONAL BA	CCALAUREATE (IB)		
		COURSE	WORK	COURSE	WORK	DUAL CREDIT C	OURSE WORK
		Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
All							
	District	3	0.7%	0	0.0%	67	15.7%
	State	143,753	7.2%	5,004	0.3%	65,736	3.3%

08-089-2010-26-0001 Dakota CUSD 201 Page 33 of 41

#### **RACE/ETHNICITY AND DISABILITY CATEGORY FOR STUDENTS WITH IEPS**

#### Percent of Students with IEPs by Race / Ethnicity

		White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian	Native American	Two or More Races
Diatriat	All Students	93.3%	0.5%	3.2%	0.6%		0.1%	2.3%
District	Students with IEPs	94.9%		2.5%				2.5%
All Peer	All Students	60.2%	11.5%	19.0%	4.4%	0.1%	0.3%	4.6%
Districts *	Students with IEPs	59.4%	14.8%	18.4%	2.2%	0.1%	0.3%	5.0%
State	All Students	47.5%	16.6%	26.6%	5.2%	0.1%	0.3%	3.8%
State	Students with IEPs	46.5%	19.7%	26.8%	2.7%	0.1%	0.3%	3.9%

#### Percent of Students with IEPs in Each Disability Category

Toront or otadomo war in	1	nt of All Stu			of Students	tudents with IEPs	
		All Peer			All Peer		
Disability Category	District	Districts*	State	District	Districts*	State	
Autism	0.7%	1.4%	1.4%	7.6%	8.6%	9.6%	
Deafness		0.0%	0.0%		0.1%	0.2%	
Deaf-Blindness		0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	
Developmental Delay	0.7%	2.1%	2.0%	7.6%	13.0%	13.4%	
Emotional Disability	0.2%	0.9%	0.9%	2.5%	5.7%	6.2%	
Hearing Impairment	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%	1.3%	0.9%	0.9%	
Intellectual Disability	0.5%	0.7%	0.8%	5.1%	4.5%	5.1%	
Multiple Disabilities		0.2%	0.2%		1.1%	1.0%	
Orthopedic Impairment	0.4%	0.1%	0.0%	3.8%	0.4%	0.3%	
Other Health Impairment	1.2%	2.3%	2.0%	12.7%	14.4%	13.2%	
Specific Learning Disability	4.1%	5.0%	5.1%	43.0%	31.6%	33.6%	
Speech or Language Impairment	1.3%	3.0%	2.4%	13.9%	19.0%	16.0%	
Traumatic Brain Injury	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	0.2%	0.2%	
Visual Impairment	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	1.3%	0.4%	0.3%	

<sup>\*</sup>Peer districts are districts of the same type as this district: Elementary School, High School, or Unit District

\*\* Peer districts for Unit Districts do not include Chicago Public Schools

\*\*\* Students who are receiving special education and/or related services via an Individualized Service Plan (ISP) have been removed from all calculations

#### **EDUCATIONAL ENVIRONMENTS FOR STUDENTS WITH IEPS**

Educational environment refers to the extent to which students with IEPs receive special education and related services in classes or schools with their non-disabled peers. Research has shown that students with IEPs who received their special education and/or related services in the general education environment displayed increased motivation, higher self-esteem, improved communication and socialization skills, and greater academic achievement as compared to students who received their special education and/or related services in a more restrictive, or segregated, environment.

The educational environments in which students with IEPs ages 6-21 receive their special education and/or related services are generally classified into four settings:

- 1. Served inside the general education classroom 80% or more of the day
- 2. Served inside the general education classroom 40% to 79% of the day
- 3. Served inside the general education classroom less than 40% of the day
- 4. Served in separate educational facilities

The following information is provided for students ages 6 through 21.

Percent of Students with IEPs in Various Educational Environments							
		Inside <u>&gt;</u> 80%	Inside 40-79%	Inside <40%	Separate Facility		
All 04 1 1 1	District	56.9%	41.7%	0.0%	1.4%		
All Students with an IEP	All Peer Districts*	54.4%	26.5%	13.0%	6.1%		
	State	53.5%	26.7%	13.1%	6.8%		

		Inside <u>&gt;</u> 80%	Inside 40-79%	Inside <40%	Separate Facility
	District	58.0%	40.6%	0.0%	1.4%
•••	All Peer Districts*	56.5%	26.9%	10.7%	5.9%
/hite	State	56.9%	25.2%	11.1%	6.8%
	District				
	All Peer Districts*	47.0%	26.0%	18.8%	8.2%
Black	State	44.8%	30.2%	16.3%	8.7%
	District	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Hispanic	All Peer Districts*	53.8%	27.1%	14.2%	4.9%
	State	54.2%	27.4%	13.3%	5.1%
Asian	District	55.3%	16.9%	21.8%	6.0%
sian	All Peer Districts*	54.2%	18.9%	19.9%	7.1%
	State	J4.2 /0	10.970	19.970	7.170
Native Hawaiian	District				
Native Hawaiian	All Peer Districts*	52.6%	22.1%	16.8%	8.4%
	State	52.0%	22.9%	15.2%	9.9%
	District				
Native American	All Peer Districts*	52.5%	26.6%	13.1%	7.7%
	State	52.0%	25.8%	14.7%	7.4%
	Pinter	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Two or More Races	District	0.0% 53.3%	25.0%	0.0% 14.3%	7.4%
	All Peer Districts*	53.3% 53.7%	25.0%	14.3% 14.1%	7.4% 8.2%
	State	JJ.170	Z4.170	14.170	0.2%

<sup>\*</sup>Peer districts are districts of the same type as this district: Elementary School, High School, or Unit District
\*\* Peer districts for Unit Districts do not inlcude Chicago Public Schools

Autism All Peer Districts* \$1.6% \$22.5% \$30.8% \$15.1% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.4% \$16.			Inside <u>&gt;</u> 80%	Inside 40-79%	Inside <40%	Separate Facility
State   30.0%   22.2%   31.4%   16.4%		District	60.0%	40.0%	0.0%	0.0%
District	Autism	All Peer Districts*	31.6%	22.5%	30.8%	15.1%
Emotional Disability  All Peer Districts* State  29.6% 34.6% 19.9% 18.2% 32.3% 14.4% 31.3%  Intellectual Disability  District All Peer Districts* State  25.0% 75.0% 30.3% 53.9% 12.0% State 3.8% 29.5% 50.6% 16.2%  Other Health Impairment  District All Peer Districts* 54.9% State 57.7% 27.8%  Specific Learning Disability  District All Peer Districts* 54.9% 37.8% 6.1% 1.2% Specch or Language Impairment  District All Peer Districts* 98.1%  District 100.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0%		State	30.0%	22.2%	31.4%	16.4%
State   34.6%   19.6%   14.4%   31.3%		District	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%
State   34.6%   19.6%   14.4%   31.3%	Emotional Disability	All Peer Districts*	29.6%	19.9%	18.2%	32.3%
Intellectual Disability		State	34.6%	19.6%	14.4%	31.3%
Intellectual Disability		District	25.0%	75.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Health Impairment         District All Peer Districts* 54.9% 29.3% 10.2% 5.6% 51.0%         40.0% 29.3% 10.2% 5.6% 51.0%         50.0% 5.6% 51.0%         50.0% 27.8% 51.0%         50.0% 5.3% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0%         50.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0% 51.0%	Intellectual Disability					12.0%
Other Health Impairment         All Peer Districts* State         54.9%         29.3%         10.2%         5.6%           Specific Learning Disability         District All Peer Districts* State         55.9%         44.1%         0.0%         0.0%           All Peer Districts* State         54.9%         37.8%         6.1%         1.2%           State         55.7%         37.1%         6.0%         1.1%           Speech or Language Impairment         District All Peer Districts*         100.0%         0.0%         0.0%         0.0%           All Peer Districts*         98.1%         1.4%         0.4%         0.1%		State	3.8%	29.5%	50.6%	16.2%
Other Health Impairment         All Peer Districts* State         54.9%         29.3%         10.2%         5.6%           Specific Learning Disability         District All Peer Districts* State         55.9%         44.1%         0.0%         0.0%           All Peer Districts* State         54.9%         37.8%         6.1%         1.2%           Speech or Language Impairment         District All Peer Districts*         100.0%         0.0%         0.0%         0.0%           All Peer Districts*         98.1%         1.4%         0.4%         0.1%		District	60.0%	40.0%	0.0%	0.0%
State   57.7%   27.8%   9.2%   5.3%	Other Health Impairment		54.9%	29.3%	10.2%	5.6%
All Peer Districts*   54.9%   37.8%   6.1%   1.2%     State   55.7%   37.1%   6.0%   1.1%     Speech or Language   mpairment   District   100.0%   0.0%   0.0%   0.0%   0.0%     All Peer Districts*   98.1%   1.4%   0.4%   0.1%			57.7%	27.8%	9.2%	5.3%
All Peer Districts*   54.9%   37.8%   6.1%   1.2%     State   55.7%   37.1%   6.0%   1.1%     Speech or Language   Impairment   District   100.0%   0.0%   0.0%   0.0%     All Peer Districts*   98.1%   1.4%   0.4%   0.1%	Specific Learning Disability	District	55.9%	44.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Speech or Language   District   100.0%   0.0%   0.0%   0.0%   0.0%   0.0%   0.1%   0.1%   0.4%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0.1%   0	opcomo courning bloability			1		1.2%
Impairment			55.7%	37.1%	6.0%	1.1%
Impairment         District         100.0%         0.0%         0.0%         0.0%           All Peer Districts*         98.1%         1.4%         0.4%         0.1%	Speech or Language					
····· ···						
State 97.4% 1.9% 0.7% 0.1%			98.1% 97.4%	1.4% 1.9%	0.4%	0.1%

#### Early Childhood (EC) Educational Environments (ages 3-5)

Educational environments for children ages 3 through 5 can be generally classified into one of the following settings:

- A. Children attending a regular early childhood program and receives the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program.
- B. Children attending a regular early childhood program and receives the majority of special education and related services in some other location.
- C. Children receiving special education or related services full-time in a separate class/facility.
- D. Children receiving special education or related services full-time in the child's home.
- E. Children receiving special education or related services from a service provider, and who do not attend an early childhood or special education program.

Percent of Students with IEPs in Various Educational Environments								
	Regular Early Ch	Separate		Service				
	Majority of Services Inside EC Program	Majority of Services Outside EC Program	Class/ Facility	Home	Provider			
District	85.7	0.0	14.3	0.0	0.0			
All Peer Districts*	42.7	31.5	19.6	0.2	6.1			
State	45.9	24.8	23.3	0.2	5.7			

#### **Educational Environments by Race/Ethnicity**

	Regular Early Childhood Program		Separate		
	Majority of Services Inside EC Program	Majority of Services Outside EC Program	Class/ Facility	Home	Service Provider
White					
District	83.3%	0.0%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%
All Peer Districts*	41.6%	34.4%	16.9%	0.3%	6.8%
State	41.7%	30.6%	20.1%	0.2%	7.4%
Black					
District					
All Peer Districts*	40.3%	30.8%	25.7%	0.2%	3.0%
State	47.4%	21.4%	28.9%	0.1%	2.2%
Hispanic					
District	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
All Peer Districts*	47.6%	24.5%	22.1%	0.2%	5.6%
State	54.1%	15.8%	25.7%	0.1%	4.2%
Asian					
District					
All Peer Districts*	42.6%	17.7%	31.5%	0.2%	8.1%
State	46.5%	15.2%	32.1%	0.2%	5.9%
Native Hawaiian					
District					
All Peer Districts*	46.2%	15.4%	38.5%	0.0%	0.0%
State	43.8%	15.6%	40.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Native American					
District	26.70/	32.7%	26 50/	0.00/	4 40/
All Peer Districts*	36.7% 41.7%	23.3%	26.5% 31.7%	0.0% 0.8%	4.1% 2.5%
State	41./%	23.3%	31.1%	0.8%	∠.5% ————
Two or More Races	400.00/	0.00/	0.00/	0.00/	0.004
District	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
All Peer Districts*	42.7%	32.9%	19.7%	0.2%	4.5%
State	44.6%	28.0%	22.8%	0.2%	4.4%

<sup>\*</sup>Peer districts are districts of the same type as this district: Elementary, High School , or Unit \*\* Peer districts for Unit Districts do not include Chicago Public Schools

Educational Environments for Students with IEPs for Selected Disabilities						
	Regular Early Ch	Compresso				
	Majority of Services Inside EC Program	Majority of Services Outside EC Program	Separate Class/ Facility	Home	Service Provider	
Autism						
District	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
All Peer Districts*	30.0%	16.7%	52.9%	0.0%	0.3%	
State	30.5%	13.6%	55.3%	0.0%	0.5%	
Developmental Delay						
District	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
All Peer Districts*	48.8%	19.2%	31.4%	0.0%	0.6%	
State	50.9%	14.9%	33.0%	0.0%	1.0%	
Emotional Disability						
District	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
All Peer Districts*	40.9%	31.8%	27.3%	0.0%	0.0%	
State	39.6%	18.9%	39.6%	0.0%	1.9%	
Intellectual Disability						
District	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
All Peer Districts*	23.1%	33.3%	43.6%	0.0%	0.0%	
State	22.9%	24.3%	52.9%	0.0%	0.0%	
Other Health Impairment						
District	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
All Peer Districts*	49.2%	17.0%	30.7%	2.6%	0.5%	
State	45.1%	14.0%	38.0%	2.1%	0.7%	
Specific Learning Disability						
District	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
All Peer Districts*	54.8%	19.4%	19.4%	0.0%	0.0%	
State	62.7%	13.4%	19.4%	0.0%	4.5%	
Speech or Language Impairment						
District	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
All Peer Districts*	39.0%	46.4%	1.9%	0.1%	12.5%	
State	43.9%	40.1%	2.9%	0.2%	12.9%	

<sup>\*</sup>Peer districts are districts of the same type as this district: Elementary, High School , or Unit \*\* Peer districts for Unit Districts do not include Chicago Public Schools

08-089-2010-26-0001 Dakota CUSD 201 Page 39 of 41

#### STATE PERFORMANCE PLAN INDICATORS FOR STUDENTS WITH IEPS

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA 2004) requires states to develop and submit a State Performance Plan (SPP) to the Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) at the U.S. Department of Education. The SPP is designed to evaluate the State's efforts to implement the requirements and purposes of IDEA and describe how the State will improve its implementation. The plan consists of several priority areas with specific indicators defined for each area. Measurable and rigorous targets are defined for each indicator to show progress throughout the life of the SPP. States are required to publicly report on SPP Indicators 1-14. A link to the Illinois State Performance Plan, Part B can be found at: <a href="https://www.isbe.net/Pages/State-Performance-Plan-Data-and-Accountability.aspx">https://www.isbe.net/Pages/State-Performance-Plan-Data-and-Accountability.aspx</a>

The table below shows how this school district performed on specific indicators and whether or not it met the annual state targets for those indicators as defined in the Illinois State Performance Plan. Some indicators require a minimum number of students before comparing district data to the state targets. "N/A" indicates that either the district did not have enough students to report on the district's performance for that particular indicator or the district does not serve students of the ages measured by the indicator.

The State Test Participation Rate is calculated by dividing the number of participants by the Tested Enrollment. At least 95% of students should be tested in ELA and mathematics with at least 10 students. If the state test participation rate is less than 95%, a 95% confidence interval is applied and the student group can meet the 95% target through the confidence interval.

SPP ndicator	Indicator Description	2019 - 2020 District Data	2019 - 2020 State Target	District Met State Target
1	Graduation Rate for students with IEPs (Data lag one year)	75.0	74.2	Yes
2	Dropout Rate for students with IEPs (Data lag one year)	5.0	4.5	No
3a	Made adequate yearly progress (AYP) for students with IEPs	N/A	N/A	N/A
3b	Reading assessment participation rate for students with IEPs		95.1	N/A
3b	Math assessment participation rate for students with IEPs		95.1	N/A
3c	Students with IEPs meeting or exceeding standards on state reading assessments		23.3	N/A
3c	Students with IEPs meeting or exceeding standards on state math assessments		23.6	N/A
4a	Significant discrepancy in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days for children with IEPs (Data lag one year)	No	No	Yes
4b	Significant discrepancy by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions greater than 10 days of children with IEPs and have policies, procedures and practices that contributed to the significant discrepancy (Data lag one year)	No	No	Yes
5a	Students with IEPs ages 6-21 served inside the general classroom > 80% of the time	56.9	58.0	No
5b	Students with IEPs ages 6-21 served inside of the general classroom < 40% of the time		15.5	N/A
5c	Students with IEPs ages 6-21 served in separate educational facilities	1.4	3.9	Yes

SPP Indicator	Indicator Description	2018 - 2019 District Data	2018 - 2019 State Target	District Met State Target
6a	Children ages 3-5 in regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	85.7	32.9	Yes
6b	Children ages 3-5 in separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	14.3	30.5	Yes
7a	Children who entered or exited an Early Childhood Special Education program below age expectations who substantially increased their rate of growth with improved functioning in positive social-emotional skills	100.0	86.3	Yes
7a	Children in an Early Childhood Special Education program who were functioning within age expectations with positive social-emotional skills by the time they exited the program	100.0	55.6	Yes
7b	Children who entered or exited an Early Childhood Special Education program below age expectations who substantially increased their rate of growth with improved acquisition and use of knowledge and skills by the time they exited the program	100.0	87.0	Yes
7b	Children in an Early Childhood Special Education program who were functioning within age expectations with acquisition and use of knowledge and skills by the time they exited the program	100.0	53.9	Yes
7c	Children who entered or exited an Early Childhood Special Education program that substantially increased their rate of growth in the use of appropriate behavior to meet their needs by the time they exited the program	100.0	88.1	Yes
7c	Children in an Early Childhood Special Education program that were functioning within age expectations regarding the use of appropriate behavior to meet their needs by the time they exited the program		61.0	N/A
8	Parents with a child receiving special education services who report that schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities		61.0	N/A
9	Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that was the result of inappropriate identification	No	No	Yes
10	Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that was a result of inappropriate identification	No	No	Yes

SPP Indicator	Indicator Description	2018 - 2019 District Data	2018 - 2019 State Target	District Met State Target
11	Children with parental consent to evaluate who were evaluated and eligibility determined within 60 days	100.0	100.0	Yes
12	Children referred by early intervention prior to age three who were found eligible for special education services and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays		100.0	N/A
13	Youth age 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	100.0	100.0	Yes
14a	Youth who had IEPs, are no longer in secondary school and are enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school		35.1	N/A
14b	Youth who had IEPs, are no longer in secondary school and are enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school		57.0	N/A
14c	Youth who had IEPs, are no longer in secondary school and are enrolled in higher education or some other post secondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school		75.0	N/A

SPP Indicators 1 - 8 and 14 are Results Indicators

SPP Indicators 9 - 13 are Compliance Indicators